

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,466		07/18/2003	Horst Knoetig	40424-189156	1148	
26694	7590	07/06/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385				PETERSON, I	PETERSON, KENNETH E	
WASHINGTON, DC 20045-9998			ART UNIT P		PAPER NUMBER	
				3724		
				DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Ac	tion Cummon.	10/621,466	KNOETIG, HORST
Опісе Ас	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kenneth E. Peterson	3724
The MAILING I	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se	IGER, FROM THE MAILING DA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. cified above, the maximum statutory period w to rextended period for reply will, by statute, ffice later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHOM TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirtial apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 22 Ma	ay 2006.	
2a)☐ This action is F		action is non-final.	
3) Since this appli	cation is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accor	dance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	6 and 9 is/are rejected.		
Application Papers			
10) The drawing(s)  Applicant may no  Replacement dra	t request that any objection to the owing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the larawing(s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119		
a)⊠ All b)□ Sor 1.⊠ Certified 2.□ Certified 3.□ Copies of application	me * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents f the certified copies of the priori on from the International Bureau	have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's f 3) Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ——·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/621,466 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,5,6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blake '928, who shows a transporter 20 and a book notcher with an acutely angled blade as seen in figures 1 and 2.

In regards to claim 5, the carrier shaft (15) is angled (perpendicularly) to the direction of the conveyance path as best seen in figures 1 and 3.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,3,5,6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Detterman et al.'780 in view of Fogg.

Detterman shows a book notcher with an acutely angled blade as seen in figure 9, and a transporter as seen in figure 8.

As best seen in figure 8, there are three notching stations (52) on the carrier (51). This means that no two blades are exactly opposite one another. Of course, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that three is an arbitrary number, and that Detterman would just as well with 4 stations, or two stations, or even just one station on

Application/Control Number: 10/621,466 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

each carrier. An example of this is the patent to Fogg, who shows a carrier (4, right side of figure X), which has just one station, with blades directly opposite each other. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Detterman by making the carrier have just one (or two or four) notching stations on the carrier, as is obvious and taught by Fogg, since this is an art recognized equivalent known for the same purpose.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 Applicant has successfully overcome the rejection by Kraushaar.

Applicant argues that Blake's carrier shaft (15) is parallel to the conveying path of the book. Applicant is incorrect. Please take another look at figures 1 and 3.

Applicant's arguments with regards to Detterman are largely moot in view of the modification by Fogg. Applicant again argues that Detterman makes straight cuts instead of arcuate cuts. Examiner agrees that the cuts may appear straight when taking a quick glance, but they are intrinsically slightly arcuate due to the arcuate motion of the blades. Examiner acknowledges they are significantly less arcuate than Applicant's, but they are arcuate nonetheless.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached Mon-Thurs, 7:30AM-5PM

Application/Control Number: 10/621,466 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KP June 26, 2006

> KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

M